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Abstract
In the design of a fishing catamaran, we must make sure of the strength of her cross deck structure. Some existing researches showed the most severe condition for that strength is in that the ship stops in beam seas. In such a condition, the strip method is still effective, but it must be used the hydrodynamic forces and moments including the influence of the wave interaction between the hulls. And a local structural analysis by F.E.M. requires not only forces and moments but also pressure on the hull.

In this paper, the author computed hydrodynamic forces, moments and pressures acting on a catamaran’s cross section by a method proposed by the author [7]. The paper especially focuses into the influence of a local wave component in the interaction waves.

1 Introduction
By historical rise in crude oil prices last year, further fuel saving is required for Japanese fishing vessels. Hull form improvement is a conventional way to achieve the purpose. But on the other hand, it is necessary for fishing vessels to develop the technologies utilizing natural energy. Wave devouring propulsion system is one of them.

A wave devouring propulsion system that converts ocean wave energy into ship driving power is one of the devices utilizing natural energy for ships. In 2008, the famous ocean adventurer Kenichi Horie has sailed from Hawaii to Japan with his catamaran type wave power boat “Mermaid II” by only the wave devouring propulsion system [1]. Comparing a mono hull ship and a catamaran, the latter is superior to the former as the basic hull form for the wave power boat. The reason is probably that the pitching of a catamaran in waves is generally much larger than that of a mono hull ship. Furthermore, using the dual wing type wave devouring propulsion system [2], the converting efficiency wave energy into the thrust reaches maximum in beam seas.

However, according to Hadler et al. [3], the most severe condition for the lateral
strength of the cross deck structure of a catamaran is also stopping in beam seas. Then, the accurate prediction of hydrodynamic forces acting on a catamaran is necessary for both ship motion prediction and confirmation of her lateral strength.

The reference [4] has shown that the strip method is still effective taking account of the influence of the wave interaction between hulls. And for the analysis of the local strength of the cross deck structure by the F.E.M., pressure on the hull is required as the external force imposing to a ship structural model. Pressure is commonly calculated as the solution of the boundary value problem for twin hull section [5]. Ohkusu’s method can calculate the forces and moments of twin hull section from the solution of a single hull section but it cannot calculate the pressures [6].

The author has already proposed new two pressure prediction methods for a twin hull section oscillating in beam seas [7]. The methods can compute pressures including the influence of wave interaction between hulls from the radiation pressures of a single hull section like as Ohkusu’s method. One method is the 2D version of the unified slender body theory for a catamaran [8], [9] and it was called “Method1” in the reference [7]. Another method is the extension of the Ohkusu’s method utilizing the Bessho’s relation for the time reversed potential theory [10], [11] and it was called “Method2” [7]. By using these methods, predicted ship motions and sea loads have shown good agreement with experiments [12].

But in the reference [7] and [12], the author omitted the interaction terms of local wave component in computations. So this paper describes the outline of the “Method1” and focuses into the influence of the local wave terms in computational results.

2 Formulation

2.1 Radiation problems for a single hull section

In this section, we describe variable definitions and some theorems of 2D hydrodynamics for a single hull section related to formulate the “Method1” of pressures of a twin hull section. In subsequent descriptions, we will use the following notations: the single overbar is for a complex conjugate, the symbol Re is for the real part and Im is for the imaginary part.

We introduce a coordinate system \( o-xyz \) with the origin placed at the center line of the hull section and on the undisturbed free surface as shown in Fig.1. \( C \) is the girth of the section, \( g \) is the acceleration of the gravity and \( i \) is the unit of an imaginary number, \( \omega \) is the wave angular frequency, \( K = \omega^2 / g \) is the wave number.

2D velocity potentials \( \phi_j \) for the \( j \)-mode fluid motion (\( j=1 \): sway, 2: heave, 3: roll,
4: diffraction) for a single hull section can be expressed as

\[ \phi_j(y, z)e^{i\omega t} = \frac{1}{C} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial n} - \phi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \right) S(y, z; y', z')dC e^{i\omega t} \]  

(1)

\[ S(y, z; y', z') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{r}{r'} \right) - \lim_{\mu \to +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-l(z+z')}}{l-K+i\mu} dl \]  

(2)

where, \( r = \sqrt{(y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2} \), \( r' = \sqrt{(y-y')^2 + (z+z')^2} \). \( S \) defined by (2) is the linear source function and \( \mu \) is the Rayleigh’s fictitious friction factor. \( n = (n_x, n_z) \) is the outward unit normal vector on the girth \( C \). Here, for simplicity, we introduce another vector \( n_j \) corresponding to \( j \)-mode motion defined as

\[ (n_1, n_2, n_3) = (n_y, n_z, n_x y - n_z) \]  

(3)

Each \( \phi_j \) should be satisfied a following hull boundary condition.

\[ \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial n} = +i\omega \xi_j n_j, \quad (j = 1 \sim 3), \quad \frac{\partial \phi_j^+}{\partial n} = -\frac{\partial \phi_0^-}{\partial n} \]  

(4)

Where, \( \xi_j \) is the \( j \) -mode motion amplitude and \( \phi_0^- \) is an incident wave velocity potential incoming from \( \pm \) direction along \( y \) axis.

We introduce the the unit amplitude velocity potentials \( \varphi_j \) corresponding to \( \phi_j \) defined as

\[ \varphi_j(y, z) = i \omega \xi_j \varphi_j(y, z), \quad (j = 1 \sim 3), \quad \varphi_4^+(y, z) = \frac{g \zeta_w}{i \omega} \varphi_4^+(y, z) \]  

(5)

In the same way,

\[ \varphi_0^+(y, z) = \frac{g \zeta_w}{i \omega} \varphi_0^+(y, z), \quad \varphi_0^-(y, z) = e^{-K(z+y)} \]  

(6)

Where, \( \varphi_0^\pm \) are the corresponding unit amplitude incident wave velocity potentials and \( \zeta_w \) is the wave amplitude.

A scattering potentials \( \varphi_j^\pm \) are defined as

\[ \varphi_j^\pm = \varphi_0^\pm + \varphi_4^\pm \]  

(7)

The hull boundary conditions for \( \varphi_j \) and \( \varphi_4^\pm \) corresponding to (4) are written as

\[ \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial n} = n_j, \quad (j = 1 \sim 3), \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_4^\pm}{\partial n} = -\frac{\partial \varphi_0^\pm}{\partial n} \]  

(8)

Fig.1 Coordinate system and variable definitions for a mono-hull section
By the way, according to Bessho’s theorem for time-reversed velocity potentials [8], following Bessho’s relation has held between the radiation potential \( \varphi_j \) and the scattering potential \( \varphi_j^\pm \).

\[
\overline{\varphi}_j = \varphi_j - i(\overline{h}_j^+ \varphi_j^+ + \overline{h}_j^- \varphi_j^-), \quad (j = 1 \sim 3)
\]  

(9)

\( \overline{\varphi}_j \) is a time-reversed velocity potential and also the complex conjugate of \( \varphi_j \), and \( \overline{h}_j^\pm \) is the complex conjugate of \( h_j^\pm \) that is the Kochin functions defined as follows.

\[
h_j^\pm = \sigma_j \pm i\mu_j = \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} - \varphi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \right) e^{-k(\xi^2 + y^2)} ds
\]

(10)

The double sign \( \pm \) denotes the direction of outgoing wave along \( y \) axis. The source strength \( \sigma_j \) and the doublet moment \( \mu_j \) for the \( j \)-mode fluid motion can be calculated by the Kochin functions as

\[
\sigma_j = \frac{1}{2} (h_j^+ + h_j^-), \quad \mu_j = \frac{1}{2i} (h_j^+ - h_j^-)
\]

(11)

We consider a simultaneous equation consisted of (9) for the \( j \)-mode and another \( k \)-mode radiation potentials and solve it for \( \varphi_j^\pm \), we obtain a following formula [8], [9].

\[
\varphi_j^\pm = \frac{\overline{h}_j^\pm (\varphi_j - \overline{\varphi_j}) - \overline{h}_k^\pm (\varphi_k - \overline{\varphi_k})}{i(h_j^+ h_k^- - h_j^- h_k^+)}
\]

(12)

This formula shows the scattering potentials can be represented by the radiation potentials and their Kochin functions. Therefore, by using this formula, we can calculate the scattering potential \( \varphi_j^\pm \) from the radiation potentials \( \varphi_j \), \( \varphi_k \) by only algebraic computation. This is one of the essential results of Bessho’s time-reversed velocity potential theory.

Similar relations as (9) have held between the Kochin functions.

\[
\overline{h}_j^\pm = h_j^\pm - i(\overline{h}_j^+ h_j^- + \overline{h}_j^- h_j^+), \quad (j = 1 \sim 3)
\]

(13)

And we obtain a following formula for the Kochin function \( h_k^\pm \) of the diffraction potential as

\[
h_k^\pm = \pm i \frac{\overline{h}_k^\pm (\overline{h}_j^- - h_j^-) - \overline{h}_j^\pm (\overline{h}_k^- - h_k^-)}{h_j^+ h_k^- - h_j^- h_k^+}
\]

(14)

Pressure on the girth is calculated by the following equation.

\[
p_j(y, z) e^{i\omega t} = \rho i \omega \xi_j \frac{\partial \phi_j(y, z) e^{i\omega t}}{\partial t} = -\rho \omega^2 \xi_j \phi_j(y, z) e^{i\omega t}
\]

(15)

Radiation forces and moments \( f_{ij} \) can be calculated as

\[
f_{ij} = \int_{C_k} n_i p_j(y, z) ds = i\omega \xi_j (i\omega a_{ij} + b_{ij})
\]

(16)

Where, \( a_{ij} \) is an added mass and \( b_{ij} \) is damping coefficient and is obtained as
\[ a_{ij} = - \text{Re} \left[ \frac{f_{ij}}{\omega^2 \xi_j} \right], \quad b_{ij} = \text{Im} \left[ \frac{f_{ij}}{\omega \xi_j} \right] \] (17)

Wave exciting forces and moments \( e_j^+ \) can be calculated by the Haskind relation as following.
\[ e_j^+ = -\rho g \xi_j h_j^z \] (18)

### 2.2 Radiation problems for a twin hull section

In this chapter, we describe a computing method of the radiation pressures of a twin hull section from that of a single hull section. The method was called “method-1” in reference [7] that has been derived as a high frequency version of the unified slender body theory for a catamaran [10], [11].

We introduce coordinate systems as shown in Fig. 2 and focus to the flow field near the left hull. We assume that the each hull section may be asymmetric to \( z \) axis, but the twin hull section is symmetric to \( Z \) axis. The flow field near the right hull can be computed from that of the left hull by using symmetry or anti-symmetry of the entire flow field.

The hull boundary conditions for \( \phi_j \) and \( \phi_k^\pm \) corresponding to (4) are written as and its unit amplitude velocity potential \( \psi_j \). \( \psi_j \) should be satisfied following hull boundary condition on \( C_L \).
\[ \Psi_{j}(Y,Z)e^{i\alpha t} = i\omega \xi_j \psi_j (Y,Z)e^{i\omega t}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial N} = N_j, \quad (j = 1 \sim 3) \] (19)

Where, \( N = (N_1, N_2, N_3) \) is the outward normal vector on \( C_L \) defined to the coordinate system \( O-Y,Z \), and \( N_j \) is written by the unit normal vector \( n_j \) to the coordinate system \( o-y,z \) defined by (3) as following.
\[ N_1 = n_1, \quad N_2 = n_2, \quad N_3 = n_3 + \frac{P}{2} n_2 \] on \( \{ C_L \} \) (20)

where; \( P \) is the distance between hulls.

![Fig.2 Coordinate systems and variable definitions for a twin hull section](image)
We focus into the flow field near the left hull and write the velocity potentials of the twin hull section as follows.

\[ \psi_j(y,z) = \varphi_j(y,z) + C_j \{ \varphi_2(y,z) - \overline{\varphi_2}(y,z) \} + D_j \{ \varphi_1(y,z) - \overline{\varphi_1}(y,z) \} \]  

(21)

\( \psi_j \) consists of the velocity potential as a single hull section and two homogeneous potentials multiplied two unknown interaction coefficients to determine symmetric and anti-symmetric flow field independently. The unknown interaction coefficients \( C_j \) and \( D_j \) will be determined by the matching with a far field velocity potential.

Here, we decompose the velocity potential \( \varphi_j \) into the symmetric flow component \( P_j \) and the anti-symmetric component \( Q_j \) as following.

\[ \varphi_j(y,z) = \sigma_j P_j(y,z) + \mu_j Q_j(y,z) \]  

(22)

\[ P_j(y,z) = G(y,z) + \text{wave free potential} \]  

(23)

\[ Q_j(y,z) = H(y,z) + \text{wave free potential} \]  

(24)

\( G \) is the wave source potential and \( H \) is the wave doublet potential with horizontal axis and these are represented as follows.

\[ G(y,z) = \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} e^{-\mu z} \cos(ny) \, dn = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \left[ e^{-K(z-iy)} E_1(\mu) \right] + i e^{-K(z+iy)} \]  

(25)

\[ H(y,z) = -\frac{1}{K} \partial_y G(y,z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ e^{-K(z-iy)} E_1(\mu) \right] + \frac{1}{K} e^{-K(z+iy)} \]  

(26)

Where, \( E_1 \) denotes the exponential integral defined as follows.

\[ E_1(z) = \int_{\frac{z}{t}}^\infty e^{-t} \, dt \]  

(27)

Substituting (22) ~ (24) into (21),

\[ \psi_j(y,z) = \sigma_j P_j(y,z) + C_j \{ \varphi_2(y,z) - \overline{\varphi_2}(y,z) \} + C_j \{ \mu_2 Q_2(y,z) - \overline{\mu_2 Q_2}(y,z) \} + \mu_j Q_j(y,z) + D_j \{ \varphi_1(y,z) - \overline{\varphi_1}(y,z) \} + D_j \{ \mu_1 Q_1(y,z) - \overline{\mu_1 Q_1}(y,z) \} \]  

(28)

we decompose (28) into symmetric and anti-symmetric flow components.

\[ \psi_j(y,z) = \sigma_j P_j + C_j ( \varphi_2 - \overline{\varphi_2}) P_j + D_j ( \varphi_1 - \overline{\varphi_1}) P_j + C_j ( \mu_2 Q_2 - \overline{\mu_2 Q_2}) P_j + D_j ( \mu_1 Q_1 - \overline{\mu_1 Q_1}) P_j \]  

(29)

Th high frequency expansions of \( P_j \) and \( Q_j \) under the assumption of \( K\sigma_0 = O(1) \) as \( r_0 = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \), (23) and (24) can be expanded as

\[ P_j(y,z) \approx G(y,z) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{Kz}{(K\sigma_0)^2} + i e^{-K(z+iy)} \]  

(30)

\[ Q_j(y,z) \approx H(y,z) \approx -e^{-K(z+iy)} \]  

(31)

And the homogeneous components are written as

\[ P_j(y,z) - \overline{P_j}(y,z) = 2i e^{-Kz} \cos Ky \]  

(32)
\[ Q_j(y,z) - \bar{Q}_j(y,z) = 2i e^{-Kz} \sin Ky \] (33)

Substituting (30) ~ (33) into (29), we obtain the following far field expansion of (21).

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_j(y,z) &\approx \{\sigma_j + C_j(\sigma_j - \sigma_j) + D_j(\sigma_j - \bar{\sigma}_j)\} G(y,z) + 2i(C_j\bar{\sigma}_j + D_j\bar{\sigma}_j) e^{-Kz} \cos Ky \\
&+ \{\mu_j + C_j(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_j) + D_j(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_j)\} H(y,z) + 2i(C_j\bar{\mu}_j + D_j\bar{\mu}_j) e^{-Kz} \sin Ky
\end{align*}
\] (34)

The expansion under the assumption of \( K r_0 = O(\varepsilon) \) can be obtained as a Taylor expansion of (34) for \( Ky \) and \( Kz \) as following.

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_j(y,z) &\approx \{\sigma_j + C_j(\sigma_j - \sigma_j) + D_j(\sigma_j - \bar{\sigma}_j)\} G(y,z) + 2i(C_j\bar{\sigma}_j + D_j\bar{\sigma}_j)(1-Kz) \\
&+ \{\mu_j + C_j(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_j) + D_j(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_j)\} H(y,z) + 2i(C_j\bar{\mu}_j + D_j\bar{\mu}_j)Ky
\end{align*}
\] (35)

(34) and (35) are understood as the far field expansion of the near field solution.

Next, we consider a far field solution. The far field disturbance from the twin hull section is expressed by two couples of a point source and doublet located at the origins of the left and right hull section. Taking account of symmetry or anti-symmetry of the flow field depending on the oscillation mode, the far field solution is expressed as

\[
\psi_j(y,z) \approx \Sigma_j G(y,z) + M_j H(y,z) + (-1)^j \left\{\Sigma_j G(y-P,z) - M_j H(y-P,z)\right\}
\] (36)

Where, \( \Sigma_j \) is the source strength and \( M_j \) is the doublet moment of the left hull section. \( \Sigma_j \) and \( M_j \) are unknown and will determine by the matching with the far field expansion of the near field solution.

Near the left hull section, we can assume \( r_0 / P << 1 \), then the source and doublet functions can be expanded as

\[
\begin{align*}
G(y-P,z) &\approx (1-Kz)g_s(P) + Kyg_a(P) \\
H(y-P,z) &\approx (1-Kz)h_s(P) + Kyh_a(P)
\end{align*}
\] (37, 38)

Where, the functions \( g_s \), \( g_a \), \( h_s \) and \( h_a \) are defined as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
g_s(P) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re}\left[ e^{-iKP} E_i(-iKP)\right] + i e^{-iKP} \\
g_a(P) &= h_s(P) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}\left[ \frac{1}{iKP} + e^{-iKP} E_i(-iKP)\right] - e^{-iKP} \tag{39, 40}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
h_a(P) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re}\left[ \frac{1}{(KP)^2} - e^{-iKP} E_i(-iKP)\right] + i e^{-iKP} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(KP)^2} + g_s(P) \tag{41}
\end{align*}
\]

The terms in the bracket of (39) ~ (41) express the local waves and the other terms express the outgoing waves. These equations show the influence of the local wave becomes larger for lower frequency or shorter distance between hull sections.

From (36) ~ (38), we obtain the near field expansion of the far field solution as

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_j(y,z) = \Sigma_j G(y,z) + (-1)^j \Sigma_j \left\{ (1-Kz)g_s + Kyg_a \right\} \\
+ M_j H(y,z) - (-1)^j M_j \left\{ (1-Kz)h_s + Kyh_a \right\}, (j = 1 \sim 3)
\end{align*}
\] (42)

Comparing (35) and (36), and taking account of the terms in (34) approximated as
We obtain matching conditions as a following simultaneous equation to determine the unknown constant $C_j$, $D_j$, $\Sigma_j$ and $\Sigma_j$.

$$\sigma_j + C_j(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1) + D_j(\sigma_1 - \sigma_1) = \Sigma_j, \quad \mu_j + C_j(\mu_1 - \mu_1) + D_j(\mu_1 - \mu_1) = \Sigma_j$$

$$2i(C_j\sigma_2 + D_j\sigma_2) = (-1)^{j'}(\Sigma_j\sigma_2 - M_j\sigma_2), \quad 2i(C_j\mu_2 + D_j\mu_2) = (-1)^{j'}(\Sigma_j\mu_2 - M_j\mu_2)$$

Solving (44) and (45) by algebraic or numerical method, substituting $C_j$, $D_j$, $\Sigma_j$ and $\Sigma_j$ into (21), finally we get the radiation potentials of twin hull section including the influence of interaction waves between hulls. But $\phi_j$ only satisfy the hull boundary condition (8) of the single hull section. For the cases of the sway velocity potential $\psi_1$ and heave’s $\psi_2$, there are no difference the normal vectors between (3) and (20). However, for the case of the roll velocity potential, though the center of the rotation of the single hull section locates at the center of a hull, that of the twin hull section locates at the center of the twin hulls. Then we must add a heave velocity potential oscillating opposite direction between the left and right hull with amplitude $P/2$ to the roll velocity potential. Namely, the velocity potential of the rolling twin hull section $\psi_3$ is expressed as follows.

$$\psi_3(y, z) = \phi_3(y, z) + C_3(\phi_3(y, z) - \overline{\phi}_3(y, z)) + D_3(\phi_3(y, z) - \overline{\phi}_3(y, z))$$

$$- \frac{P}{2}[\phi_3(y, z) + C_3(\phi_3(y, z) - \overline{\phi}_3(y, z)) + D_3(\phi_3(y, z) - \overline{\phi}_3(y, z))]$$

The interaction coefficients $C_3$ and $D_3$ are obtained as the values of $C_2$ and $D_2$ replacing $(-1)^{j'}$ with $-1$ in (45).

The velocity potential on the right hull section can be calculated by that on the left hull section using the symmetry or anti-symmetry of the entire flow.

$$\psi_j(Y, Z) = (-1)^{j'}\psi_j(-Y, Z)$$

Pressure on the hull sections can be calculated by the following equation.

$$P_j(Y, Z)e^{i\omega t} = \rho i \omega \xi_j \frac{\partial \psi_j(Y, Z)e^{i\omega t}}{\partial t} = -\rho \omega^2 \xi_j \psi_j(Y, Z)e^{i\omega t}$$

Added mass $A_{ij}'$ and damping coefficient $B_{ij}'$ of the left hull section to the coordinate system $O-Y,Z$ and those of the right hull section $A_{ij}'$ and $B_{ij}'$ are calculated by following equations.

$$A_{ij}' = -\text{Re.} \left[ \frac{F_{ij}'}{\omega^2 \xi_j} \right], \quad B_{ij}' = \text{Im.} \left[ \frac{F_{ij}'}{\omega^2 \xi_j} \right], \quad A_{ij}' = (-1)^{r+j}A_{ij}', \quad B_{ij}' = (-1)^{r+j}B_{ij}'$$

Total added mass $A_{ij}$ and damping coefficient $B_{ij}$ of the coordinate system $O-Y,Z$ for the twin hull section is calculated by followig equations.

$$A_{ij} = -\text{Re.} \left[ \frac{F_{ij}}{\omega^2 \xi_j} \right], \quad B_{ij} = \text{Im.} \left[ \frac{F_{ij}}{\omega^2 \xi_j} \right]$$
Where, \( F_{ij}^l \) is a force or a moment calculating by pressure integration as

\[
F_{ij}^l = \int_{c_i} n_j P_j(y,z)ds \tag{51}
\]

and

\[
F_{ij} = F_{ij}^l + F_{ij}^R = \int_{c_i} N_j P_j(Y,Z)ds + \int_{c_a} N_j P_j(Y,Z)ds \tag{52}
\]

When we introduce the wave interaction between hulls to the calculation of hydrodynamic coefficients, even if an individual hull section is symmetric, the coupling terms of (49) of the individual section as \( A_{ij}^l \) still exist. But these terms are canceled out to the entire twin hull section. The hydrodynamic coefficients of the individual section are needless for the ship motion computation but require the calculation of sea loads acting on the cross deck structure.

### 2.3 Diffraction problems for a twin hull section

In this section, we describe the computing method of scattering pressure and wave exciting forces and moments by the Bessho’s relation (12) using the aforementioned radiation potentials. We rewrite (12) to the twin hull section as

\[
\psi_j^\pm = \frac{\tilde{H}_j^\pm (\psi_j - \psi_k) - \tilde{H}_j^\pm (\psi_k - \psi_k)}{i(\tilde{H}_j^\pm \tilde{H}_j^\mp - \tilde{H}_j^\pm \tilde{H}_j^\mp)} \tag{53}
\]

Where, \( \psi_j^\pm \) is a scattering potential. \( \tilde{H}_j^\pm \) is the Kochin function of the twin hull section for \( j \)-mode motion and the double sign \( \pm \) denotes the direction of outgoing wave along \( Y \) axis. \( \tilde{H}_j^\pm \) is the complex conjugate of \( H_j^\pm \).

(53) requires the Kochin function \( H_j^\pm \). The Kochin function of the left hull section \( H_j^{L\pm} \) is defined to the coordinate system \( O - Y, Z \) as

\[
H_j^{L\pm} = \int_{c_a} \left( N_j - \psi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial N} \right) e^{-KZiY}) ds, \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{54}
\]

Substituting \( Y = y - P/2 \) and \( Z = z \) into (54)

\[
H_j^{L\pm} = e^{\pm iKP/2} \int_{c_a} \left( n_j - \psi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \right) e^{-K(ZiY)}) ds \tag{55}
\]

By using (10), (11) and (55), \( y = Y + P/2 \), the Kochin function of the left hull section can be written as

\[
H_j^{L\pm} = e^{\pm iKP/2} (\Sigma_j \pm i M_j) \tag{56}
\]

In the same way, the Kochin function of the right hull section can be written as

\[
H_j^{R\pm} = (-1)^j e^{\pm iKP/2} (\Sigma_j \mp i M_j) \tag{57}
\]

Therefore, the entire Kochin function of the twin hull section \( H_j^\pm \) can be written as
\[ H_j^\pm = H_j^{L\pm} + H_j^{R\pm} = \begin{cases} \mp 2i\{\Sigma_j \sin(KP/2) + M_j \cos(KP/2)\}, & j=1 \\ 2\{\Sigma_j \cos(KP/2) - M_j \sin(KP/2)\}, & j=2 \end{cases} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (58)

Substituting (21), (47) and (58) for \( j \) and \( k(\neq j) \) mode into (53), we obtain the scattering potential \( \psi_S^\pm \) and subtracting the following incident wave potential from \( \psi_S^\pm \),

\[ \psi_0^\pm(Y,Z)e^{i\omega t} = i\frac{g\xi}{\omega} \psi_S^\pm(Y,Z)e^{i\omega t}, \quad \psi_0^\pm(Y,Z) = e^{-K(Z+Y)} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (59)

we obtain the diffraction potential \( \psi_4^\pm \) as

\[ \psi_4^\pm = \psi_S^\pm - \psi_0^\pm \]  
\hspace{1cm} (60)

Scattering pressure \( P_S^\pm \) is calculated as follows

\[ P_S^\pm(Y,Z)e^{i\omega t} = \rho \frac{g\xi}{\omega} \psi_S^\pm(Y,Z)e^{i\omega t} = -\rho g\xi \psi_S^\pm(Y,Z)e^{i\omega t} \]  
\hspace{1cm} (61)

Wave exciting forces and moment \( E_j^\pm \) for \( j \)-mode direction are computed by the pressure integral as

\[ E_j^\pm = E_j^{L\pm} + E_j^{R\pm} = \int_{C_L} N_j P_S^\pm(Y,Z)ds + \int_{C_R} N_j P_S^\pm(Y,Z)ds \]  
\hspace{1cm} (62)

and by the Haskind relation

\[ E_j^\pm = -\rho g\xi \psi_j^\pm \]  
\hspace{1cm} (63)

The wave exciting forces of the individual section are needless for the ship motion computations but require that of the sea loads acting on the cross deck structure. The calculation method of \( E_j^{L\pm} \) and \( E_j^{R\pm} \) by using the Haskind relation has already proposed by Ohkusu [6]. We notice the wave exciting forces \( E_j^{L,R\pm} \) of (62) are defined to the coordinate system \( O-Y,Z \).

3 Numerical examples and discussions

We computed the pressure distributions and hydrodynamic forces and moments for the Lewis form section with the sectional area ratio \( \sigma=0.941 \) and the half beam draught ratio \( H_0=1.0 \). Radiation pressures of a single hull section were computed by the program of the singularity distribution method developed by Bedel et al. [13]. The unit amplitude velocity potentials can be reproduced from the radiation pressures as following.

\[ \varphi_j = (p_a - ip_v)/K \]  
\hspace{1cm} (64)

Where; \( p_a \) is the pressure in acceleration phase and \( p_v \) is that in velocity phase. The distance between hulls \( P/T = 4 \).

Fig.3 shows the pressure distribution in acceleration phase \( P_a \) and Fig.4 shows that in velocity phase \( P_v \) by rectangles heaving at \( KT=0.1( T: \text{draught}) \). In Fig.3 and 4, the
upper side figure shows the pressure distribution of the single hull section, the lower left figure shows that of the left hull section of the twin hull computing with the local wave terms of (39) ~ (41) and the lower right without the local wave terms. All of the pressure figures are upside down to physical space, because of $z$ axis positive downward.

The pressure distribution has been non-dimensionized by dividing the static pressure at the depth of twice amplitude of heaving. And each rectangle shows the working direction and amplitude of the pressures. These figures show the influences of the local wave terms to radiation pressures are small even in the case of low frequency.

Fig. 5 shows the scattering pressure distributions of the cos-component and Fig. 6.
Fig. 5 Pressure distributions of the Cos-component by wave scattering
(The upper: single hull, the middle: twin hull with local wave, the lower: without local wave)

Fig. 6 Pressure distributions of the Sin-component by wave scattering
(The upper: single hull, the middle: twin hull with local wave, the lower: without local wave)
shows that of the sin-components. Fig. 5 and 6, the upper side figure shows the pressure distribution of the single hull section, the middle figure shows that of the twin hull section computing with the local wave terms of (39) – (41) and the lower figure without

Fig. 7 Added mass coefficient and wave amplitude ratio for swaying catamaran

Fig. 8 Added mass coefficient and wave amplitude ratio for heaving catamaran
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Fig. 9 Added moment of inertia coefficient and wave amplitude ratio for rolling catamaran

Fig. 10 Levers of in phase coupling moment for swaying catamaran

Fig. 11 Levers of out of phase coupling moment for swaying catamaran
local wave terms. These figures show the influences of the local wave terms to scattering pressures are small even in low frequency.

Fig.7 ~ 11 shows the added mass and damping coefficients non-dimensionalized as same manners of the reference [13].

\[
K_{4s} = \frac{A_{1s}}{\pi T^2}, \quad K_4 = \frac{A_{2s}}{\pi / 4B^2C_0}, \quad K_{4h} = \frac{A_{3s}}{\pi / 4T}, \quad \ell_w = \frac{A_{4s}}{A_{11}T}, \quad \ell_w = \frac{B_{4s}}{B_{11}T}
\] (65)

Where, \( C_0 \) is the heaving added mass for the infinite frequency. \( A_s, A_h \) and \( A_r \) is the wave amplitude ratio of sway, heave and roll motion. In legends of these figures, “Single” shows the results of the single hull section and the other shows that of twin hull section. And also, “w L.W.” or “wo L.W.” shows the calculations with or without the influence of the local wave terms. There are some differences between the results of “w L.W.” and “wo L.W.” for all of the motions in low frequency region.

Fig.12 ~ 14 show the wave exciting forces and moment by the pressure integral of (61). The results were non-dimensionalized as same as the reference [4] as follows.

\[
E_1 = \frac{E_1}{\rho g \zeta_w T}, \quad E_2 = \frac{E_2}{\rho g \zeta_w T}, \quad E_3 = \frac{E_3}{\rho g \zeta_w T^2}
\] (66)

In the Fig.8, the frequency at which the heaving wave amplitude ratio goes to zero is called a wave free frequency and at that the wave exciting force for heave direction also goes to zero as shown in Fig.13. The wave free frequency of twin hull section arises by
Fig. 13 Wave exciting heave force and its phase lag for catamaran

Fig. 14 Wave exciting rolling moment and its phase lag for catamaran
the wave interaction between hulls. As described in the reference [6], the pressures on
the hulls do not become to zero but the component of the pressure to the heave direction
cancelled out between hulls. Fig.12 ~ 14 shows the influence of the local wave come to
remarkable with the shorter distance between hulls and lower frequency.

Computed pressures could not be confirmed with experiments but the forces and
moments by pressure integral agreed with computations of Takezawa et al. [5].

The methods used in this chapter are called the far field approximation [10] from the
view point of the slender body theory. When we write the ship length is \( L \), the breadth
of hull section is \( B \), we assume \( B \) much smaller than \( L \), namely \( B/L = \varepsilon \ll 1 \), the
far field approximation requires the assumption that the distance between hulls \( P \) is
\( P/L = O(\varepsilon) \). On the other hand, the near field approximation assumes \( P/L = O(\varepsilon) \)
and defines the strict 2D two bodies’ problem. The near field approximation is the strict
2D solution, but in the view point of the approximation of the 3D problem, that is still
approximated solution as same as the far field approximation.

4 Conclusions

We have described the calculation method of the radiation/diffraction pressures with
wave interaction of a twin hull section from the results of a single hull section. The
method can calculate not only forces and moments but also pressures on the hulls. In
this paper, all of the results for the twin hull section have been obtained from the output
of the pressure distributions for the single hull section without solving any boundary
value problems. The calculation for the twin hull section requires only 1 % increase of
computing time for a single hull section [5].

Present method provides the pressure on a catamaran stopping in beam seas which is
utilized for the FEM structural analysis and ship motion prediction in waves. On
applying to wave power boats, accurate thrust prediction requires accurate ship motion
prediction. By using the hydrodynamic forces including the wave interaction between
hulls, we can predict the accurate motions of a catamaran in beam seas [7].

Now, Prof. Terao the developer of “Mermaid II” is going to apply these computer

codes to the design of a next wave power boat.
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